Xenon Clusters in Intense VUV Laser Fields

Robin Santra and Chris H. Greene

JILA, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0440, USA

Financial Support:
German Research Foundation (DFG)
U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science

letters to nature

Multiple ionization of atom clusters by intense soft X-rays from a free-electron laser

H. Wabnitz*, L. Bittner*, A. R. B. de Castro†, R. Döhrmann*, P. Gürtler*, T. Laarmann*, W. Laasch*, J. Schulz*, A. Swiderski*, K. von Haeften*‡§, T. Möller*, B. Faatz*, A. Fateev‡§, J. Feldhaus*, C. Gerth*, U. Hahn*, E. Saldin‡, E. Schneidmiller‡, K. Sytchev‡, K. Tiedtke*, R. Treusch* & M. Yurkov||

* *Hamburger Synchrotronstrahlungslabor HASYLAB at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestraße 85, D-22603 Hamburg, Germany*
† *LNLS, 13084-971, R. Guiseppe Maximo Scolfaro, Campinas SP, Brazil, and IFGW-UNICAMP, 13083-970 Campinas SP, Brazil*
‡ *Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestraße 85, D-22603 Hamburg, Germany*
|| *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Moscow Region, Russia*

"We find that, whereas Xe atoms become only singly ionized by the absorption of single photons, absorption in clusters is strongly enhanced. On average, each atom in large clusters absorbs up to 400 eV, corresponding to 30 photons."

Nature **420**, 482 (2002).


8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
N≈30,000
5+
6+
7+
8+
N≈80
4+
Xe3+
N≈2–20
Xe2+
Atom
Xe+
Intensity (arbitrary units)
200
400
600
800
Time of flight (ns)
2,500
0
Ejection energy (eV)
1
7
Ion charge



I_{FEL}=7.3×10^{13} W cm^{-2}
8
7
6
3
4
5
1.4×10^{13}
Xe^{++}
1.4×10^{12}
1.9×10^{11}
Xe^{+}
4.3×10^{10}
Intensity (arbitrary units)
200
400
600
800
Time of flight (ns)

back

1 – Why is the experimental result surprising?

- pulse parameters: $\hbar\omega$ = 12.7 eV, τ = 100 fs, I = 7×10^{13} W/cm^2

1 – Why is the experimental result surprising?

- pulse parameters: $\hbar\omega$ = 12.7 eV, τ = 100 fs, I = 7×10^{13} W/cm^2
- the ponderomotive potential

$$U_p = 2\pi\alpha I/\omega^2$$

is only of the order of 10 meV

$\Rightarrow$ small in comparison to $U_p \approx 1$ keV in intense near-infrared laser fields

1 – Why is the experimental result surprising?

- pulse parameters: $\hbar\omega$ = 12.7 eV, τ = 100 fs, I = 7×10^{13} W/cm^2
- the ponderomotive potential

$$U_p = 2\pi\alpha I/\omega^2$$

is only of the order of 10 meV

$\Rightarrow$ small in comparison to $U_p \approx 1$ keV in intense near-infrared laser fields

- the atomic unit of intensity is about 10^{16} W/cm^2

$\Rightarrow$ cluster–laser interaction is still in the perturbative regime

1 – Why is the experimental result surprising?

- pulse parameters: $\hbar\omega$ = 12.7 eV, τ = 100 fs, I = 7×10^{13} W/cm^2
- the ponderomotive potential

$$U_p = 2\pi\alpha I/\omega^2$$

is only of the order of 10 meV

$\Rightarrow$ small in comparison to $U_p \approx 1$ keV in intense near-infrared laser fields

- the atomic unit of intensity is about 10^{16} W/cm^2

$\Rightarrow$ cluster–laser interaction is still in the perturbative regime

- in classical simulations, Wabnitz *et al.* found that each atom absorbs only about one photon (not 30 photons, as observed experimentally)

2 – Proposed mechanism

- at 10^{13} W/cm^{2}, all xenon atoms in a cluster are singly ionized within 10 fs

2 – Proposed mechanism

- at 10^{13} W/cm^2, all xenon atoms in a cluster are singly ionized within 10 fs
- the quasi-free electrons form a relatively cold nanoplasma

2 – Proposed mechanism

- at 10^{13} W/cm^2, all xenon atoms in a cluster are singly ionized within 10 fs
- the quasi-free electrons form a relatively cold nanoplasma
- some of the electrons evaporate from the surface of the cluster; the majority remains inside

2 – Proposed mechanism

- at 10^{13} W/cm^2, all xenon atoms in a cluster are singly ionized within 10 fs
- the quasi-free electrons form a relatively cold nanoplasma
- some of the electrons evaporate from the surface of the cluster; the majority remains inside
- multiple electron–electron and electron–ion collisions can occur

2 – Proposed mechanism

- at 10^{13} W/cm^2, all xenon atoms in a cluster are singly ionized within 10 fs
- the quasi-free electrons form a relatively cold nanoplasma
- some of the electrons evaporate from the surface of the cluster; the majority remains inside
- multiple electron–electron and electron–ion collisions can occur
  - thermal equilibrium of electron gas is maintained at all times during the laser pulse

2 – Proposed mechanism

- at 10^{13} W/cm^2, all xenon atoms in a cluster are singly ionized within 10 fs
- the quasi-free electrons form a relatively cold nanoplasma
- some of the electrons evaporate from the surface of the cluster; the majority remains inside
- multiple electron–electron and electron–ion collisions can occur
  - thermal equilibrium of electron gas is maintained at all times during the laser pulse
  - heating via *inverse bremsstrahlung*



- both absorption and emission processes can take place
- in thermal equilibrium, there are more cold than warm electrons
- this leads to effective heating $\Rightarrow$ inverse bremsstrahlung

3 – Our treatment of inverse bremsstrahlung

- second-order perturbation theory: first order in electron–ion and electron–photon coupling, respectively; classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ is avoided

3 – Our treatment of inverse bremsstrahlung

- second-order perturbation theory: first order in electron–ion and electron–photon coupling, respectively; classical limit $\hbar \to 0$ is avoided
- thermodynamic limit; atomic density of liquid xenon is assumed; the heating rate is proportional to the atomic density

3 – Our treatment of inverse bremsstrahlung

- second-order perturbation theory: first order in electron–ion and electron–photon coupling, respectively; classical limit $\hbar \to 0$ is avoided
- thermodynamic limit; atomic density of liquid xenon is assumed; the heating rate is proportional to the atomic density
- we use ionic potential of the form

$$V_i(r) = -\frac{1}{r}\left\{i + [Z - i]\exp\left(-\alpha_i r\right)\right\}\exp\left(-r/\lambda_D\right)$$

i: ionic charge state; Z: nuclear charge

$$\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{T}{4\pi n}}$$

T: temperature in units of energy; n: plasma-electron density


number of absorbed photons
10^{2}
10^{1}
10^{0}
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
−200
−100
0
100
200
t [fs]
Coulomb potential
atomic potential

4 – Coupled rate equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{n}_0(t) &= -\sigma_1(t) j_{\mathrm{ph}}(t) n_0(t) \\
\dot{n}_1(t) &= \sigma_1(t) j_{\mathrm{ph}}(t) n_0(t) - \sigma_2(t) j_{\mathrm{ph}}(t) n_1(t) \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

$n_i(t)$: probability of finding Xe^{i+} in the cluster; $\sigma_{i+1}(t)$: photoionization cross section of Xe^{i+}; $j_{\mathrm{ph}}(t)$: photon flux

4 – Coupled rate equations

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{n}_0(t) &= -\sigma_1(t) j_{\rm ph}(t) n_0(t) \\ \dot{n}_1(t) &= \sigma_1(t) j_{\rm ph}(t) n_0(t) - \sigma_2(t) j_{\rm ph}(t) n_1(t) \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$

$n_i(t)$: probability of finding Xe^{i+} in the cluster; $\sigma_{i+1}(t)$: photoionization cross section of Xe^{i+}; $j_{\rm ph}(t)$: photon flux

$$\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{\rm kin}(t) = \frac{3}{2} q(t)\dot{T}(t) + \sum_i \varepsilon_i(t)\sigma_i(t) j_{\rm ph}(t) n_{i-1}(t)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rm kin}(t) = \frac{3}{2} q(t) T(t)$$

$q(t)$: average number of plasma electrons per atom; $\varepsilon_i(t)$: kinetic energy of photoelectron leaving Xe^{i+} behind


1.0
0.5
0.0
n_i [1]
i = 0
i = 1
i = 2
−200
−100
0
100
200
t [fs]


100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
Debye length [bohr]
–200
–100
0
100
200
t [fs]


1.5
1.25
1
0.75
0.5
0.25
0
ionization potential [hartree]
–200
–100
0
100
200
t [fs]
IP(Xe)
IP(Xe+)
IP(Xe++)



mass spectra

5 – Thermalization model

$$\sum_{i=0}^{8} n_i(t\to\infty) I_0^{(N-i)} + \frac{3}{2} q(t\to\infty) T(t\to\infty) = E_{\text{tot}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{8} g_i \frac{\exp\left\{-I_0^{(N-i)}/T_{\text{eq}}\right\}}{Z_{\text{eq}}} I_0^{(N-i)} + \frac{3}{2} q_{\text{eq}} T_{\text{eq}} \left(+\frac{3}{2} T_{\text{eq}}\right)$$

g_i: statistical weight of Xe^{i+}; Z_{eq}: canonical partition function

5 – Thermalization model

$$\sum_{i=0}^{8} n_i(t \to \infty) I_0^{(N-i)} + \frac{3}{2} q(t \to \infty) T(t \to \infty) = E_{\mathrm{tot}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{8} g_i \frac{\exp\left\{-I_0^{(N-i)}/T_{\mathrm{eq}}\right\}}{Z_{\mathrm{eq}}} I_0^{(N-i)} + \frac{3}{2} q_{\mathrm{eq}} T_{\mathrm{eq}} \left(+\frac{3}{2} T_{\mathrm{eq}}\right)$$

g_i: statistical weight of Xe^{i+}; Z_{eq}: canonical partition function

ionization potentials $I_0^{(N-i)}$ of atomic xenon, in eV

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
ab initio	11.7	31.7	60.7	103	156	220	310	414
experiment	12.1	33.1	66.2	108				


probability for producing Xe^i+ [arb. units]
I_peak = 7.3x10^13 W/cm^2
I_peak = 1.4x10^13 W/cm^2
I_peak = 1.4x10^12 W/cm^2
I_peak = 1.9x10^11 W/cm^2
I_peak = 4.3x10^10 W/cm^2
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
i

6 – Reference

Robin Santra and Chris H. Greene

Xenon Clusters in Intense VUV Laser Fields

Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 233401 (2003).